The purpose of Program Review is to summarize and interpret the data and information collected from the resources listed above, reflecting how your department program(s) have been successful and incorporated the information into improvements, where necessary. As a part of the overall college planning process, a meaningful Program Review will be the primary document CPC and other college committees will rely on for qualitative and quantitative information on a program, informing enrollment management, budgeting (cap outlay, grants), hiring priorities, and finally accreditation. Therefore, it is important that each department create a report that is meaningful for their program(s) while demonstrating the program(s)’ value to the college and community.

The questions below are designed to help you create, primarily, a narrative review (roughly 5-10 pages); each curriculum guide (AA or Certificate) represents a “program”, and requires a separate review report (this document). Any data included should be interpreted, not simply “plugged in”; this document should refer to supporting documents for larger amounts of raw data. It is expected to take more than Flex day, and be an on-going conversation among the faculty in the program. Please refer to the timeline to help you map out an internal timetable for your program. To further assist you, we are planning a workshop for the March Flex day (see timeline).

It is important to note that while “Program Plans” are really “Department Plans” (yearly), you need to create a separate “Program Review” (3-year cycle) document for each program (curriculum guide) within your department.

Program Review Questions (Use form boxes, they will automatically expand.)

1. – 3. Summarize and interpret the data for each of the first three above (Enrollment Patterns, Achievement Data, Staffing/Resources) as they relate to your program.

THE AA-T PROGRAM IS CURRENTLY BEING INSTITUTED. PROGRAM SPECIFIC DATA WILL BE UPDATED ON AN ONGOING BASIS.

1. ENROLLMENT PATTERNS

Speech Communication
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2008], 26.58 [2008-2009], 31.89 [2009-2010]) sessions. A steady increase in enrollment rates, combined with lengthy student waitlists, suggest that there is an increasing demand for Speech Communication courses. As student enrollment increases, so does the number of weekly student contact hours (14, 133.25 [2006-2007], 15, 261.26 [2008-2009], 16, 119.70 [2009-2010]). With a 50% decrease in our full-time faculty due to retirements in the last eight years and with three of the five full-time faculty members planning to retire within the next 2-5 years, such data suggests that it would behoove both the Speech Communication department and students to hire additional full-time faculty members to serve the enrollment needs of the students and community alike.

2. ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Speech Communication

The student success rate refers to the percentage of students who complete a course with an A, B, C, and CR grade. Achievement data suggests that the average student success rate of students enrolled in courses that are offered within The School of Creative Arts are relatively similar to the average student success rates of students enrolled in Long Beach City College as a whole. Specifically relevant to the Speech Communication department, between the years 2008-2011, the average student success rates for summer course offerings have steadily increased (81.61% [Summer 2008], 86.96% [Summer 2009], 88.83% [Summer 2010]). This increase in student success may be attributed to a decrease in the amount of summer courses offered in the summer of 2010, as compared to the number of courses offered in the summer of 2009 or 2008. The scarcity of courses offered in the summer of 2010 may have ignited an appreciation for the opportunity to enroll in the summer session as well as a desire to successfully complete the course.

Achievement data revealed the following Speech Communication courses to have the highest student success rates in 2007-2008 (SP 50 [95%]), 2008-2009 (SP 25 [89.66%]), 2009-2010 (SP 20 [92.68%]), and 2010-2011 (SP 20 [91.94%]). Respectively, the following Speech Communication courses were reported to have the lowest student success rates: 2007-2008 (SP 25 [68.75%]), 2008-2009 (SP 20 [74.16%]), 2009-2010 (SP 31 [67.65%]), 2010-2011 (SP 31 [44.44%]). The average student success rate for all Speech Communication and Sign Language courses offered from 2007-2011 ranged from 73.46% (Spring 2008) to 86.96% (Summer 2009). These high student success rates may be attributed to the Speech Communication department’s philosophy of experiential learning. Through experiential learning, students take a hands-on approach to learning. The low student success rates in the Speech 31 course may be a result of a shift in department goals and student expectations for the class. The Speech Communication department feels secure that the success rates in Speech 31 will continue to rise.

The student retention rate refers to the percentage of students who complete a course with an A, B, C, CR, D, F, NC, and Incomplete grade. Achievement data reveals that, on average, the student retention rates between the years 2007-2010 in the Speech Communication department range between 79.01% (Fall 2007) and 93.39% (Summer 2010). The high retention rate in Summer 2010 may be attributed
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to a decrease in the number of courses offered that summer. The courses with the 
highest retention rates include: 2007-2008 (SP 31 [96.00%]), 2008-2009 (SP 25 
[90.80%]), 2009-2010 (SP 25 [94.90%] and SP 30 [94.90%]), 2010-2011 (SP 30 
[94.83%]). Speech 31, which was taught by a seasoned faculty member during this 
time frame, is a mandatory course for Speech Communication majors. That said, 
the combination of having serious students taught by a passionate and experienced 
faculty member in the SP 31 course, may have created a strong foundation for the 
course, which fostered a high rate of student retention. The courses with the 
lowest student retention rates include: 2007-2008 (SP 10 [77.31%]), 2008-2009 
(SP 10 [81.08%]), 2009-2010 (SP 31 [80.77%]), 2010-2011 (SP 31 [81.48%]). The 
higher attrition rates in the SP10 and SP31 courses are not unanticipated. Since 
numerous students experience high levels of apprehension associated to the act of 
public speaking, some would rather discontinue enrollment in a SP 10 course 
rather than subject themselves to high levels of anxiety.

The student completion grade refers to the percentage of students who complete a 
course with A, B, C, CR, and D grades. Achievement data reveals that, on average, 
the student completion rates between the years 2007-2010 in the Speech 
Communication department range between 76.62% (Spring 2008) and 90.93% (Summer 
2010). The high student completion rates in Summer 2010 may be attributed to a 
decrease in the number of courses offered that summer. The courses with the 
highest student completion rates include: 2007-2008 (SP 31 [96.00%]), 2008-2009 
(Sp 25 [90.80%]), 2009-2010 (SP 30 [94.90%]), and 2010-2011 (SP 20 [93.55%]). 
The courses with the lowest student completion rates include: 2007-2008 (SP 10 
[71.26%], 2008-2009 (SP 10 [75.51%]), 2009-2010 (SP 31 [70.59%]), and 2010-2011 
(SP 31 [70.37%]). Although there is a fairly significant difference between the 
lowest and highest completion rates, it is a positive reflection of the Speech 
Communication department that 73.37% is the lowest completion rate between the 
years 2007-2010.

3. STAFFING RESOURCES

Speech Communication

As it currently stands our full time faculty consists of 6 members and our 
adjunct faculty numbers are holding at 19. The most notable issue in staffing 
has been the loss of 50% of our full time faculty (due to retirements) in the 
last several years. As a department that is one of the highest in demand across 
the college the loss of colleagues who can not only teach but also contribute to 
departmental and college-wide obligations has placed a burden on our workload. 
It is our hope that while we did secure 1 full-time faculty member beginning in 
the 2011 year, we need to continue securing our department’s program with 
additional full time hires in the very near future. Of our current 6 full time 
members fifty percent will more than likely be facing retirement over the next 3-
5 years and it is imperative that we recruit faculty who continue to provide a 
solid foundation for the program’s success.

4. SLOs – a) Summarize the collected program data
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Speech Communication

Our program SLOs were completed in Spring of 2011 with resounding success. The first SLO assessed critical thinking and communication strategies. The average score was 85% for all students tested. Key findings indicate that students are demonstrating and implementing effective critical thinking and persuasive communication strategies. Such scores indicate that students are mastering the experiential nature of this intended SLO. The second SLO focused on assessing effective presentational strategies. The average score was 73% for all students tested. Key findings indicate that students are demonstrating and implementing effective presentational skills. Such scores indicate that students are mastering the experiential nature of this intended SLO.

b) Based on analysis of course and program SLO assessment:

- How are program-level and course-level SLOs being implemented, assessed, and used for program improvement?

Speech Communication

We have completed assessment on four courses in academic year 2010-2011. We have chosen to administer course-level assessments solely amongst our full-time faculty. Program SLOs however, are inclusive to both full and adjunct faculty. Courses were selected in a random fashion and assessment methods consisted of objective testing as well as subjective testing. Although we do not have the full scope of course assessments complete we are scrutinizing the early results and have decided to re-work assessments to improve our student success prior to the next round of testing. Student outcomes at this time are a mixed result of both positive demonstration of specific SLOs, but at the same time students are struggling with some SLOs. While students are excelling in specific SLOs naturally we would like to see our assessment results have more consistency in achievement level across the board.

- Summarize how the program has responded to SLO assessment results.

Speech Communication

Due to the fact that we have only completed four courses to date it would be pre-mature to draw conclusions on the whole process at this time. However, we are pleased that students are demonstrating success in specific SLOs and we are revisiting those with which our student population struggled with to ensure a greater percentage of success on the next assessment cycle. We have revised assessment tools to ensure stronger results in our next round of assessments.

- Discuss how each action/change is based on ASLO results and how it will contribute to the improvement of the program.
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Speech Communication

In consort with the College wide student goal of producing students who are competent in communication the data collected may inform us of our success level as well as areas that need to be fortified. As it stands from our earliest assessments we are aware that we need a randomizing sampling pool, we also need expand the scope to include our part-time faculty as we have had a fifty percent decrease in our full-time faculty over the past several years. We also know that we need to expand the student pool to include both campuses as well as day, evening and weekend classes. Making such modifications will allow a more inclusive assessment of our program. We are proud to say that we have one of the highest in demand programs on campus. In order to maintain success, introspection through SLOs will allow our program to review its rigor, productivity, and accomplishments.

5. Goals -

a) Based on the data from questions 1 – 4 and any other relevant internal or external data your department has collected, how have your department and program goals developed and changed over the past three years?

Speech Communication

Our department and program goals have not changed over the last three years. By channeling our attention to concrete and measurable strategies, we have been able to validate our previous teaching philosophies, objectives and goals. Over the last two years, through critical analysis and objective review, we know we are on a constructive, successful path to achieving our department and institutional goals.

The data shows that enrollment patterns have remained consistently high.

- There has been a steady increase in FTES in the last 3 fiscal years.
- Student success rates continued to climb between 2008-2010
- Student retention rates from 2007-2010 ranged from 79.01% - 93.39%
- Student Completion Rates - Student completion rates from 2007 - 2010 ranges from 77% - 91%.

All the achievement data suggests that the Speech Communication department have been successful in achieving student success.

The Speech Department is one of the highest demand departments for the college, and as the data shows, that demand is steadily increasing. However, we are facing this challenge with a significant decrease in full-time faculty. There is a desperate need for additional full-time faculty.
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Our number of full-time faculty has decreased by 50% over the past few years and 3 of the remaining 6 are likely slated for retirement in the next 3-5 years. In order to support the needs of the students, have time for the work required for class planning and evaluation, and continue with the increased effort of SLO evaluations, we must have more full-time faculty support.

The program's achievement data shows:

- Student success rates from 2007-2011 ranged from 73% - 87%. The highest student success rates were noted in Sign 2A and 2B (the Intermediate class.)

- Student retention rates from 2007-2011 ranged from 79% - 93%. The highest student retention rates were noted in Sign 1A for 3 fiscal years and Sign 2A for 1 fiscal year.

- Student completion rates from 2007-2011 ranged from 77% - 90%. The highest student completion rates occurred in Summer 2010, perhaps due to only 1 session being offered.

b) Discuss the steps you have taken to address each goal. What have been the results of these efforts?

Speech Communication

We have had four distinct goals since our previous Program Review.

Goal: Speech Communication Requirements for A.A. Degree

Intercultural Communication (Speech 25) is currently offered as a requirement option under the Social Sciences Area for both Plan B and Plan C, but not Plan A. If students are given the option to enroll in Speech 25 in order to fulfill a Social Science requirement for Plans B and C, then they should equally be given the same opportunity to complete Speech 25 for Plan A.

While Plan B (Area A1) and Plan C (Area 1C) of Long Beach City College's General Education Plan both require students to complete a Speech course, Plan A of LBCC's General Education Plan does not. If students are required to complete a Speech course in order to fulfill the course requirements on Plan B and Plan C, then students should also be required to complete a Speech course in order to adequately complete requirements of Plan A.

Our department has been and is in the process of conducting online surveys of local California Community Colleges in order to investigate whether or not such colleges offer Speech 25 as a Social Science course option for the A.A./A.S. degree and/or have a mandatory Speech course requirement for the completion of an A.A./A.S. degree.

We have begun the discussion with representative members of Long Beach City College's curriculum committee in order to understand the rationale as to why Speech is not a mandatory requirement, and why Speech 25 is not a Social Science course offering under Plan A.

Our next step is to review and discuss findings within our Speech Communication department and determine our course of action to achieve this goal.
Goal: Mentoring

Our intention is to develop a formalized mentoring program between full-time and part-time faculty. By creating a stronger relationship among faculty we can collectively focus on departmental goals related to student success and professional development. Moreover, we are practicing the theory of what we teach in terms of fostering interpersonal relationships among colleagues. Engaging in an active mentoring program allows the full-time faculty to ensure that our newer as well as seasoned adjunct faculty is complying with departmental policies and student learning outcomes. Likewise, the full-time faculty can benefit from our adjunct's knowledge and resources that they bring from other campuses that may, in turn, strengthen our department to its fullest potential.

Our initial plan was to begin in the spring 2011 semester, to devise a systematic process to initiate and build on the mentoring program which would involve partner pairing, orientation with newly hired faculty, providing workshops, and devising methods of communication and assessment of the mentoring program. We were not able to begin this process as planned largely due to the significant amount of work required this year with Program Review, four Course-specific SLO assessments and two Program-level assessments. When we are able to begin our program, we intend to include mentoring opportunities with informal communication both face-to-face and electronically as well as formal workshops on specific courses, enhancement of teaching strategies/techniques and interview workshops to help strengthen their hiring potential.

Goal: Department Restructuring

Our Department has continued to investigate the feasibility of restructuring the Department of Speech Communication. Several community colleges and universities in our area have changed the department name of their Speech Communication Department to Department of Communication Studies. We feel there is a possibility that the name change could alleviate any confusion regarding discipline-content and course titles.

A change could create a course of study that students would find user-friendly and ensure course viability.

We did conduct a comprehensive review of area schools and gathered data regarding trends in Speech Communication/Communication Studies department structures. We found evidence that there was merit for the change. We have decided to proceed with the department name change.

Goal: Course Offerings

Our intention is to offer more sections of our courses as our consistency long wait-lists indicate a critical need in this area. Achieving this goal is dependent upon the hiring of more faculty ideally full-time.

c) Based on the new data collected (4), what are your plans for change in the future?

Speech Communication

This early opportunity to create, test and analyze our Student Learning Objectives has been a learning experience. The results have been proven to be at times successful and other times indicators that we have more work to be done in creating a valid tool. The process of creating objective criteria with the best of intentions and forethought was enlightening and
motivating for the department. To then use the instrument and see the findings has been a first step towards setting, reaching and maintaining a standard of excellence for the department and ongoing success for the students.

In the area of our goals, we want to continue working on #1 (as mentioned above) and commit to our #2, #3 and #4 goals with renewed resolve. It is likely we will be able to meet this target no later than Spring, 2012.

While facilities are not within our control, we have and will continue to work with the appropriate teams in order to secure permanent classrooms and offices for our department. We were moved to a long-term temporary location recently, however, it is a major desire for our department to have permanent classrooms designed specifically for our discipline and for full and part-time faculty offices to allow us to work together as a team. We are currently allocated three designated classrooms which does not meet student demand.

6. College Wide –

Discuss how the program SLOs as well as the department goals integrate, articulate, and complement the institutional goals and initiatives. (How does your department fit into the big picture?)

Speech Communication

As a discipline, our department is in direct and successful alignment with the College Wide Goals in the areas of Communication and Critical Thinking.

Every one of our courses address the individual points of:

• Ability to listen
• Ability to speak clearly
• Cooperate and work effectively with individuals and groups using appropriate social skills.
• Find, use, manage, evaluate, and convey information efficiently and effectively.

By reviewing our department’s intention in writing our SLOs and the assessment tools we created, it is clear that our goals for our students are the same as those of the college. Our department faculty consistently strives for the success of the student in the classroom, in their current everyday lives and for their future. Each course includes an element of listening, presenting, working together, knowing where to get their information, what to do with it, and how to critically analyze what they have discovered in order to achieve a productive, successful result.
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While the SLO results are recent and still in the fine-tuning stages, it is clear that our department is achieving a high standard of success in all of our courses. Our student demand is one of the highest in the college, while being supported by a small, full-time faculty base. Our retention rate is high, student evaluations of instructors are positive, and student grade achievement remains strong.