The meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Long Beach Community College District, County of Los Angeles, California, was held in Building I, Liberal Arts Campus, 4901 East Carson Street, Long Beach, on November 12, 2002.

CALL TO ORDER
The meeting was called to order at 4:10 p.m., the items to be discussed in closed session were announced and the meeting was adjourned to closed session.

The meeting was reconvened in open session at 5:12 p.m., in the Board Room, Building I, Liberal Arts Campus. President Uranga reported that, in closed session, no action was taken.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
Dean Hopkins, Public Information Officer, led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL
Present: President Uranga, Vice President McNinch, Member Clark, Member Kellogg, Member Polsky, Student Trustee Washington

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS
President Uranga welcomed everyone to the meeting.

ORDERING OF THE AGENDA
There were no changes in the order of the agenda.

REPORT OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES
Committee Reports
There were no Committee Reports.

STUDENT TRUSTEE
LBCC’s Homecoming activities were supposed to take place on November 9\textsuperscript{th}, last Saturday, but the weather prevented the announcement of King and Queen which is postponed until November 20\textsuperscript{th} from 11 to 1 p.m. In spite of the weather the game still went on as planned at 7 p.m. with LBCC losing to Cerritos College by only three points.

Also, LBCC Department of Music, Radio, and Television along with the Associated Student Body will sponsor the Jazz/Pop Fall Choral Concert on Friday and Saturday, December 6 and 7, at 7:30 p.m. each evening in the Auditorium of LAC.

LBCC applications are now out for students to register for Spring 2003 and the first day for registration was today by telephone. In registering some students are planning to transfer, LBCC’s Annual Transfer Day Fair will be held tomorrow Nov. 13\textsuperscript{th} from 10 a.m. – 1 p.m. on the College Center Lawn at the LAC campus. Approximately 50 college and university representatives will be available for the students to ask questions and get prepared to transfer.

Extended Opportunities Programs and Services also known as EOP&S will be hosting guest speaker Dr. Adolfo Reed tomorrow from 12 to 1:30 p.m. in the auditorium. He is from the Debs Jones-Douglass Institute in New York and will present on the growing national campaign for a free college education at any public institution in the U.S. This event is free and I want to open the invitation to the Board to attend.

LBCC- PCC is hosting two important drives this month. A blood drive on November 20\textsuperscript{th} at 9 a.m. – 2:00 p.m. in the Student Center Lounge in which all blood donations are going to the patients at Long Beach Memorial Medical Center and Miller Children’s Hospital. Usually the blood drives on each campus have really good turnouts and students have the opportunity to receive community service hours. Also, they will be hosting a Thanksgiving Basket Drive the week of Nov. 18\textsuperscript{th} to the 26\textsuperscript{th}.

As the semester ends the student body has several banquets coming up: On Dec. 3\textsuperscript{rd} Student Senate Awards Banquet will be held at 11 a.m. – 1 p.m. in the Nordic Lounge on LAC, PCC Awards Luncheon will be held on Dec. 4\textsuperscript{th} at 11:30 – 1 in the Lounge. ASB, AMS, & AWS will be hosting a combined Student Life Banquet this semester which will be held at the Queen Mary on December 5\textsuperscript{th} from 5 -9 p.m. ASB decided to combine the banquet for students to receive recognition within a larger setting of peers.

ASB is hosting a movie nite tomorrow from 5 -7 in the Nordic Lounge. The movie being shown is “Memento.” The purpose of movie nights is to give the students an opportunity to relax and be entertained after a long day at school and before finals start in mid December. ASB will also be holding Spring 2003 elections on PCC- Tuesday Nov. 19\textsuperscript{th} from 9-1 and 5:30-7:30 p.m. and on LAC, Wednesday from 9-1 and 5:30-7:30 p.m.
Also, I will be representing the Board by attending the Community College League of California 2002 Annual Convention in San Jose this Thursday to Saturday. The theme of the conference is “Celebrating the Way California Learns.” I am very excited.

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON AGENDA ITEMS
At their request, members of the public may address the Board of Trustees on any item prior to or during the Board's consideration of that item. A five (5) minute time limit will be allotted to each speaker, with a maximum of twenty minutes for each subject, unless extended by the Board President. (There were no comments)

The following items were part of the Consent Agenda

HUMAN RESOURCES (Academic)
It was recommended by the Administrative Dean, Human Resources, and the Superintendent that the Board of Trustees approve the following actions:

Appointments
Hourly Instructors – Fall 67
Hourly Counselors - Fall 1
Hourly Librarians – Fall 1
Hourly Substitute Instructors 7
Stipends 29

In-Service Changes
Department Head Election-Interim 1
Change of Salary – Contract 1

HUMAN RESOURCES (Classified)
It was recommended by the Administrative Dean, Human Resources, and the Superintendent that the Board of Trustees approve the following actions:

APPOINTMENTS
Probationary 4
Permanent 1
Return to Previous Class 1

SEPARATION FROM EMPLOYMENT
Layoff 1
FINANCE AND PURCHASING
It was recommended by the Vice President, Administrative Services, and the Superintendent that the Board of Trustees approve the following actions:

FINANCE
Appropriation Transfers
Appropriation Transfers numbered 73222, 73226, 73298, 73396, 73564, 73566, 73568, 73578, 73582, 73584, 73860, 73879, 73884, 73887, 73904, 73900, 73914, 73928, 73930, 73933, 73936, 73944, 73948, 73949, 73954, 73957, 73959, 73962, and 73967 for the General Fund, in the amount of $111,777 as listed.

From:  
Academic Salaries $ 2,845  
Classified Salaries 755  
Staff Benefits 294  
Books and Other Supplies 36,192  
Other Operating Expenses 66,691  
Appropriation for Contingencies 5,000  $ 111,777

To:  
Academic Salaries $ 30,677  
Classified Salaries 8,106  
Staff Benefits 3,282  
Books and Other Supplies 5,312  
Other Operating Expenses 21,376  
Capital Outlay 43,024  $ 111,777

There were no appropriations greater than $25,000.

Salary Warrants
Ratify issuance of salary warrants listed on Register No. 4072 through Register No. 4077 for the period of October 7, 2002, through October 18, 2002, in the amount of $2,705,148.97 as listed.

Register No. 4072  Warrant Nos. 0699728 – 0700110  $ 998,639.03  
Issue Date 10/10/02

Register No. 4073  Warrant Nos. 0700111 – 0700168  $ 192,558.86  
Issue Date 10/10/02

Register No. 4074  Warrant Nos. 0700169 – 0700881  $ 547,873.98  
Issue Date 10/10/02

Register No. 4075  Warrant Nos. 0700882 – 0700922  $ 91,261.37  
Issue Date 10/10/02
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Issue Date 10/16/02

Register No. 4076  
Issue Date 10/16/02

Register No. 4077  
Issue Date 10/16/02

Total Salary Warrants Issued  

$ 622,761.73

Warrant Nos. 0700923 – 0701034

$ 252,054.00

Warrant Nos. 0701035 – 0701085

$ 2,705,148.97

Commercial Warrants

Ratify issuance of commercial warrants for the period October 7, 2002, through October 18, 2002, in the amount of $4,780,209.77 as listed.

Period Ending October 11, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>$1,195,487.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Financial Aid Fund</td>
<td>$2,623,011.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll Clearing Fund</td>
<td>$37,281.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3,855,780.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Period Ending October 18, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>$689,170.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Financial Aid Fund</td>
<td>$124,661.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Development Fund</td>
<td>$430.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll Clearing Fund</td>
<td>$105,187.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Project Fund</td>
<td>$4,978.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Week Total</td>
<td>$4,780,209.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Included in the total expenditure of $4,780,209.77 are the following payments greater than $25,000, excluding employee benefits and utilities:

1. $230,074 to Alliance of Schools for Cooperative Insurance Programs, for property and liability coverage and for the second quarter installment of the District’s contribution for worker’s compensation insurance and trust account loss funding for fiscal year 2002-2003 ($5,292 to be reimbursed by ASB).

2. $209,956 to Palp, Inc., dba Excel Paving Company, for the reconstruction of parking lots D and E, Liberal Arts Campus.
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1. $114,674 to Associated Student Body Enterprises, for reimbursement of books and office supplies for Extended Opportunity Programs and Services, August 2002 and September 2002.

New Accounting Fund
It is recommended that the Board establish a new enterprise fund (59) to account for community services and contract education activities.

PURCHASING
Contract Awards
CN 99614.3 – Ratify - With Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. (ACS), to provide a comprehensive tuition tax credit reporting program for the District at a cost of approximately $32,100 for the period of July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003.

CN 99615.8 – Authorize the Vice President, Administrative Services to approve the selection of the responsible contractor from the responsive bidders for upgrade lighting and ceiling system, Building L at the Liberal Arts Campus for an amount not to exceed $150,000.

Purchase Order Approvals/Ratifications
Authorize the issuance of purchase orders for the period October 7, 2002, through October 18, 2002, in the amount of $292,490.34 as listed.

| 45612 – 45618 | $18,398.95 |
| 45621 – 45695 | 244,284.58 |
| 45697 – 45725 | 29,806.81 |
| **Total amount** | **$292,490.34** |

Included in the total amount of purchase orders of $292,490.34 are the following items greater than $25,000:

General Fund - Fund 01
PO 45655 Agreement for hazardous waste disposal Environmental Safety/Waste Disposal
Account No. 557000-01-677300-0000 $30,000.00

Purchase Order Approvals/Ratifications
PO 45663 Enrollment fees and FTES assessment for Summer 2000 and Spring 2001
Televised Instruction
Acct. No. 589500-01-619100-0000 $26,423.60

FACILITIES
It was recommended by the Vice President, Administrative Services and the Superintendent that the Board of Trustees approve the following actions:

**Agreements**

**CN 93019.8** - Ratify - Amend - With Aon Consulting, as benefits consultant through September 30, 2002, for a contract increase of $7,500 for additional funds needed to pay final invoice, through September 30, 2002, for a total contract amount of $22,500.

**CN 93036.6** - Ratify - Amend - With William Scroggins, to continue providing internet update services for the Assessment Institute Project, to extend the termination date from June 30, 2002, to June 30, 2003, for the amount of $6,000, paid with Assessment Institute funds.

**CN 93048.3** - Ratify - With Blanca Stella Caceres, to provide licensed child care for CalWORKs participants, to increase contract amount by $7,000, for a total contract amount of $17,000, effective October 28, 2002, paid with CalWORKs funds.

**CN 93058.5** - Ratify - Amend - With California State University at Long Beach Foundation, to provide funding for instruction and licensing preparation services for 15 Certified Nursing Assistant students to upgrade to Licensed Vocational Nurse status, to extend the grant performance termination date from August 30, 2002, to December 31, 2002.

**CN 93066.4** - Ratify - Amend - With Lupe Garibay dba: Garibay Family Child Care, to provide licensed child care for CalWORKs participants, to increase contract amount by $25,000, effective October 17, 2002, for a total contract amount of $37,000, paid with CalWORKs funds.

**CN 93087.5** - Ratify - Amend - With Shari Sterner, to provide data analysis for Activity One of the Title V Grant, to increase contract amount by $2,000, for a total contract amount of $5,000, paid with Title V funds.

**CN 93089.2** - With Theodore B. Horn, to hear appeals of employee discipline and provide recommendations to the Personnel Commission, effective December 1, 2002, through November 30, 2007, for $500 per diem, on an as-needed basis.

**CN 93089.3** - With John C. Jarboe, to hear appeals of employee discipline and provide recommendations to the Personnel Commission, effective December 1, 2002, through November 30, 2007, for $800 per diem, on an as-needed basis.

**CN 93095.2** - Ratify - With Bixby Kids Club, Long Beach Unified School District, to provide licensed child care for ACCESS participants, effective October 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003, for the amount of $5,000, paid with CalWORKs funds.
CN 93095.3 - Ratify - With the Chancellor's Office, California Community Colleges, to provide funding for the District's Advanced Transportation Technology Center, effective July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. The amount of funding is $178,875.

CN 93095.4 - Ratify - With Thuy Linh, to prospect 30 companies in the Asian communities of Los Angeles and Orange County, to familiarize them with the Center for International Trade (CITD) services, effective October 14, 2002, through June 30, 2003, for an amount not to exceed $2,450, paid with CITD funds.

CN 93095.5 - With Justin Robertson, to provide graphic art services (digital and print) as necessary for the "Successful Disabled Student - The Faculty Perspective," FIPSE grant, effective January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2003, for an amount not to exceed $8,700, paid with FIPSE funds.

CN 93095.6 - With San Francisco Community College District, acting as fiscal agent for the Early Childhood Mentor Program, to provide funding effective September 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. Amount of funding is $4,093.

CN 93095.7 - Ratify - With Holland & Knight, LLP, Law Offices, to provide legal assistance regarding environmental issues and contracts, effective November 1, 2002, on an as-needed basis. Fees are charged at an hourly rate as specified. Initial retainer of $5,000 required upon execution of agreement.

CN 93095.8 - Ratify - With Fulbright and Jaworski, LLP, to provide legal services regarding income tax, finance and securities on an as-needed basis, effective October 30, 2002. Fees are charged at an hourly rate as specified.

CN 93095.9 - Ratify - With Albert Banales, to provide child care for a CalWORKs participant, effective October 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003, for the amount of $6,000, paid with CalWORKs funds.

**Use of Facilities**

Ratify request to use District facilities for activity and on date as shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Campus</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Facilities</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACT Testing</td>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>Dietary Exam</td>
<td>W122</td>
<td>10/26/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonini Photography</td>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>Film Shoot</td>
<td>Stadium &amp; Baseball Field</td>
<td>10/27, 28/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Rush</td>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>Tryouts</td>
<td>Stadium</td>
<td>11/8/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

User to be charged fees in accordance with Board-approved fee schedule.

Ratify requests to use District facilities for activities and on dates as shown.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Campus</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Facilities</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pauline Merry</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>Artist Reception</td>
<td>Learning Center</td>
<td>10/16/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATAP Testing</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>CNA Nursing Exam</td>
<td>EE262, FF107</td>
<td>10/16-18/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBCC Wood-Working Program</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>HS Instruction</td>
<td>MM 118</td>
<td>10/19/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBCC Women's Basketball</td>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>Fundraiser</td>
<td>Large Gym</td>
<td>11/9/02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facility use rental waived. Equipment/staffing will be charged if necessary.

Grant requests to use District facilities for activities and on dates as shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Campus</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Facilities</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black Business Professional Assoc.</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>Economic Summit</td>
<td>Student Lounge</td>
<td>11/23/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOPS</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>Holiday Celebration</td>
<td>Student Center</td>
<td>12/7/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT Testing</td>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>Foreign Service Exam</td>
<td>W122A, W130-135</td>
<td>4/12/03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Users to be charged fees in accordance with Board-approved fee schedule.

Grant requests to use District facilities for activities and on dates as shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Campus</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Facilities</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upward Bound</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>Holiday Celebration</td>
<td>Student Center</td>
<td>12/14/02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facility use rental waived. Equipment/staffing will be charged if necessary.

**Expense Reimbursement**

That the Board authorize reimbursement of expenses for the 2002 Primary Nominating Election for April 9, 2002, for a total of $121,073.17, to the City of Long Beach, City Clerk.

**Institutional Membership**
Include on the 2002-03 list of institutional memberships the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membership Name</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Color Association</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Paid by the School of Creative Arts and Applied Sciences)

**Rejection of Claim**
Reject the claim presented by Vichaka Heang, with regard to an incident that occurred at the Liberal Arts Campus on August 29, 2002.

**Donation**
Accept the donation from Daniel D. Williams, 5532 Newman, Cypress, CA 90630, of GFI Components for eight complete kits - Compuvales, lines, fittings, gauges and regulators, and eight compressed natural gas cylinders, for use in the Advanced Transportation Technology Program, and ask that a letter of appreciation be sent.

It was moved by Member McNinch, seconded by Member Clark, that the items on the Consent Agenda be approved and authorized.

The motion carried, all voting aye.

**ACADEMIC SENATE (Title 5, Section 53203)**
Tonight, I have two items to bring to your attention:

The first is a certificate of completion.
Entitled “Basic Computer and Literacy Skills,” this certificate identifies two course patterns: One from, what has been lovingly called, the Chaotic department, or “Computer and Office Technologies” And the other from CBIS, or “Computer and Business Information Systems”

Each of these course patterns provides our students with a general competency in industry-based computer core skills. Upon completing this certificate, a student will have sufficient knowledge and proficiency to qualify for the IC3 certificate.

- The IC3 certificate is the Industry-Based and Computer Core Certificate that qualifies an individual to become widely competitive in the job market.
- There is no specific job waiting for someone who has acquired an IC3, but the most common job that is available is “Help Desk Technician”, i.e., someone who works in a company as the expert who handles the most frequently asked information systems questions.

- Also, this certificate identifies generic skills that do two things: First, it tells a potential employer the competency level of his/her employee.
Second, it serves as a step in a career ladder for someone seeking future certificates and
degrees based on computer skills.

Therefore, I am requesting that you pass this action item and provide the legal sanctions
needed for this certificate.

It was moved by Member McNinch, seconded by Member Polsky, that the Board approve
the following Certificate of Completion: Basic Computing and Internet Literacy.

The motion carried, all voting aye.

The second item is a series of five courses that need to be added to all three of LBCC’s GE
Breadth patterns.

The courses are:

- **Contemporary Economic Issues**: this is a class designed to show how economic
theory and practices integrate with environmental, institutional, and multicultural
events.

- **Sociology for Latinos**: this a course that surveys the Latino subculture in the United
States, with an emphasis of the Mexican experience.

- **Introduction to Ethics, Honors**: This is the more intense version of the existing Ethics
class that is designed to meet the needs of the Honors Program and exploit our
transfer alliances with UCLA, UCI, UC Riverside, Claremont Pomona, Pepperdine,
and others.

- **Introduction to Non-Western Philosophy**: This course looks at the principle
philosophical traditions found elsewhere in the world beside the West; included are
the works of K’ung Fu Tzu, Laos Tzu, Buddha, and The Brahmanas, as well as such
movements as Islam, Native American Animism, and African worldviews.

- **Introduction to Existentialism**: this class explores the opposing voice to the popular
19th and 20th centuries philosophies of mass movements such as Hegelianism,
Marxism, Liberalism, and modern Conservatism; Existentialism explores the cry of
the individual seeking freedom from the conformity demanded by these mass
movements as first voices by Kierkergaard and expounded further by Heidegger,
Nietzsche, Camus, and Sartre.

The Committee on Curriculum and Instruction is asking that you place all five
courses on our three GE Breadth patterns.
These three GE Breadth patterns are:

- Plan A: the GE requirements for an Associate Degree at LBCC
- Plan B: the GE requirements for transfer to the CSU system;
- And Plan C: the GE requirements for transfer to either the CSU and UC systems.

It was moved by Member McNinch, seconded by Member Polsky, that the Board approve the following courses to be added to the General Education pattern:

- Contemporary Economic Issues
- Sociology of Latinos
- Honors Introduction to Ethics
- Introduction to Non-Western Philosophy
- Introduction to Existentialism

The motion carried, all voting aye.

SUPERINTENDENT-PRESIDENT

Academic Calendar - 2003-2004

It was moved by Member McNinch, seconded by Member Polsky, that the Board of Trustees approve the 2003-2004 Academic Calendar, which includes the required 175 days of instruction, Summer Session 2003 dates, all mandated holidays, flex days, and any additional Board-declared holidays.

The motion carried, all voting aye.

Academic Calendar: Tentative 2004-2005

The Board received, for First Reading the Tentative Academic Calendar for 2004-2005.

ACADEMIC AFFAIRS

Follow-Up Report on Televising Board Meetings

Cindy Hanks presented the following report to the Board and showed a short video as an example of the quality of the Board meetings that will be televised.

Digital Video Camera

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sony DVCAM Camcorder DSR-PD 150</td>
<td>$3,783.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sony digital tape max 60 minute - 10 tapes</td>
<td>$86.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripod and focus/zoom control</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,019.94</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Audio Upgrade for LAC Board room

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$9,001.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>$742.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,644.08</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lighting Upgrade for LAC Board room

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lighting instruments, dimmer balast, dimmer control</td>
<td>$6,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>$515.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor to install</td>
<td>$2,225.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Audio Upgrade for Dyer Hall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$8,530.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>$703.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$9,834.58</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lighting Upgrade for Dyer Hall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lighting Instruments</td>
<td>$2,109.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>$174.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical upgrade labor</td>
<td>$1,450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,733.64</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Backdrop covering shiny whiteboard in Dyer Hall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theatrical Quality Curtains</td>
<td>$2,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or Blue Pipe and Drape</td>
<td>$590.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>$46.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$636.78</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Blue skirting for tables for Dyer Hall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>$26.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$343.16</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for recommended essential items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audio Upgrade for Dyer Hall</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,990.63</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lighting Upgrade for Dyer Hall</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,733.64</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Backdrop covering shiny whiteboard in Dyer Hall</strong></td>
<td><strong>$636.78</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blue skirting for tables for Dyer Hall</strong></td>
<td><strong>$343.16</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$25,478.53</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Member McNinch: What would the cost be to go to two cameras?

Cindy Hanks: I'd have to look at what a switcher costs. I have to look at the electronics in it, because it's a whole different system. Plus, we're also talking about staff to run them, too. So, I can bring you some numbers back, if you like.

Member McNinch: I know that we have asked to have these meetings recorded for historical purposes and at our current level, we're not recording much.

Cindy Hanks: No, that's true.

Member McNinch: It would be helpful to have a camera on the podium speaker, as well as a camera on the group. However, I don't know what that additional cost would be.
Cindy Hanks: Well, if you remember back to the report we gave before, we gave the high end, the middle end and the low end. This is the low end. If you look at the bottom line that I’ve recommended, this is the very low end, the one at the very bottom, $25,478.53. That mid-range price that we gave you last time was about $60,000. That was for two additional cameras, but that doesn't include the staff to run it each time; that's mainly the equipment. So, that kind of gives you an idea of the increase in cost and that would be for two different campuses.

Member McNinch: Would we be able to utilize broadcasting student interns to turn this into a learning experience for them?

Cindy Hanks: I don't see why not. I'd have to discuss that with Dr. Scott.

Member McNinch: Gary says yes.

Gary Scott: It's certainly a possibility. It's a matter of what level of quality you want for these broadcasts.

Member McNinch: Well we would hope that our students are very highly qualified.

Gary Scott: That's a good point. When you start adding multiple cameras it becomes a whole sophisticated issue because now you need two camera operators or however many cameras you have. Then you need a third person who's monitoring those three images and making a decision about which image actually goes to tape. So now you have a switcher and you have a control and you have three additional people to make that whole thing happen.

Member McNinch: Would that be a viable learning experience for our students who want to go into this field professionally or am I just blowing in the wind?

Gary Scott: Maybe a slight breeze, but it certainly real-world work opportunities; yes.

Member Polsky: I don't think I was here the first time you did a presentation. I noticed that the figures you have here -- the total doesn't really add up everything. There are things that are "ors" or you've left out……So, this is a pretty low figure. But my concern is what is it going to cost? Staff time…

Cindy Hanks: Staff time with a single camera so there's no change in the cost of staff time because we already have a staff member that shoots the Board meeting each time. There would be no additional staff for the microphones because it's an automated system. So that's pretty much the additional cost for us to get going; that's what it would be. If we start getting into more complex tapings, yes, there are additional costs.

The lighting. I have not had a chance to test the camera at PCC with the existing lighting, but this camera's a lot more sensitive to light than the camera we have been using. I want to test it to see what it would look like and bring that back to you so that you can make that determination. I just didn't get a chance to do that before this report.
Member Polsky: Have we had a committee look at this as to whether or not it's really a good thing to do. I don't know.

Member McNinch: We're the committee.

Member Polsky: I don't like it, but I think the rest of the Board does.

Member Clark: It was indicated that as we get into new construction and upgrading, we would possibly have a facility that would have this type of equipment. I am somewhat reluctant to upgrade this room for basically $10,000, if we may have something in the future. I was not that unhappy with what I saw that you put on the screen as a beginning. If it's just a matter of having a new camera and doing some lighting, I think this would be a beginning until we would get into maybe some of these upgrades along with our construction, and I'm not sure that wouldn't be adequate.

Cindy Hanks: Except for the places where there are no microphones whatsoever and also at Dyer Hall. We don't have enough microphones down there or here.

Member Clark: Well, if you could add some microphones it would be relatively inexpensive.

Cindy Hanks: You can't just add microphones because once you start getting that many open microphones you have screaming feedback and other issues. Not only that, they don't make this kind of microphone anymore. There are a number of issues with it. There's also just drilling out the tables, running the lines and there's no room in the mixer over here. This has a maximum of eight, so we would have to upgrade. My first cost estimates were one for $19,000 and one for $17,000, so you're seeing the low number.

Member Clark: I'd hate to spend $10,000 if we could do it for less in this room if we're going to have another facility and looking at something that we could use to service the community and give them the opportunity to see our meetings, because they're out there dying to tune us in. I would say that would be the main consideration I have as to what we could do in the interim. Maybe if you have to have a curtain at Dyer Hall that's not too expensive and if you need that type of a camera, it's certainly necessary, but I'd hate to get into the expense to upgrade this room here.

President Uranga: I see this as maybe a first chew; it is an estimate and there are some issues that have been brought up that we might want to investigate a little further so that the Board would want to keep an open mind on and we could reconsider this and perhaps discuss it.

Cindy Hanks: Is there any additional research that you want me to bring back to the Board? I'm certainly willing to continue looking.
President Uranga: I think basically the Board is happy with the quality of the camera, but the audio is the main issue at this point. It's not very clear; we can't hear it. The volume was all the way up and who knows with people's television sets how it's going to sound. If I could give a suggestion, you might want to look at perhaps alternative ways to enhance the audio to make it much clearer than it is at the present time and I don't really know what that's going to entail other than it is somewhat expensive. You have an estimate here of $10,000 just for the audio and that's a major cost and I can identify with Dr. Clark's concerns about if we are looking at an alternative site for holding Board meetings, we might want to not make that kind of major expense at this time until we are settled in.

Member McNinch: Would you in your report include to us the proposed guess as to when the new facility would be done. If it's four years down the road, then perhaps this is a wise investment at this time. If we're guessing nine months to a year, then this would not be a wise investment. I would appreciate that very much.

Vice President Oakley: It would not be nine months to a year.

Member Kellogg: If the trustees want to sit down and consider this, I would make a motion that we also revisit this item at our meeting in December, that's one month. I don't think there are any other items. I'll just tell you personally, as far as the building and the audio which needs to be addressed, the whole purpose of doing this and granted there are costs when we move our meetings, whether it's 24 months or 48 months downrange, the fact is the whole purpose of this was to have community outreach and the audio does have to be improved. Everything else was fine. Tom Clark and I dealt with one camera at the Long Beach City Council meetings for years. So, I think everything else about it is fine. But, again, the whole purpose is not have a multi-camera, high quality sound, but to get the message of this meeting out there so people who wish to view it can, or individuals with sleeping disorders do have a remedy. It's always good to look at the financial cost, but I think that the financial cost is minimal considering we will be here for the immediate future and the point is to get the means to telecast the meetings for people who wish to watch it. So, I would just ask then that this item be reconsidered at our December 10 meeting.

Member McNinch: That's cutting it pretty close. Could we do it in January so Mrs. Hanks would have more time to get her figures.

Cindy Hanks: I will be out of town for the next meeting.

Member Kellogg: So the motion then would be hold this item over to January 14, 2003. It really comes down to, again, consider the cost factors, how the money is spent wisely,
but are we going to televise the meetings of the Long Beach Community College District Board of Trustees.

Member Clark: Again, I would like to see us do it. On the audio does it help if we speak into the microphone? (laughter)

Cindy Hanks: Yes. We'll teach you all about microphone etiquette.

Member Clark: So, I think you have a pretty good idea of what we are looking at and what we are trying to do. I would like to see us be able to do it and certainly if they could do it for a reasonable amount; not that this is unreasonable.

President Uranga: Thank you very much for your report.

(There was concurrence by the Board to bring this item back in January 2003.)

**STUDENT SUPPORT, PLANNING AND RESEARCH**

No report.

**ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES**

**2002-2003 Expenditure Plan for the Telecommunication and Technology Infrastructure Program (TTIP)**

The TTIP Budget Act language requires each district to submit to the Chancellor’s Office a plan for the expenditure of technology funds on a yearly basis. Upon approval of the 2002-2003 Certification Plan, the District will receive 4CNET Video funds ($12,300), Library funds ($37,037), and 4CNET Data funds (Actual estimated circuit cost and ISP usage calculated from July 1, 2002, through December 31, 2002) in the first principal apportionment (P1) disbursement in February 2003. The remaining 2002-03 TTIP allocation will be distributed in the June 2003 second apportionment (P2). Any further adjustments to allocations will be made at that time.

It was moved by Member McNinch, seconded by Member Clark, that the Board of Trustees approve the 2002-2003 Expenditure Plan for the Telecommunication and Technology Infrastructure Program (TTIP) and authorize transmittal of the expenditure plan to the Chancellor’s Office, California Community Colleges.

The motion carried, all voting aye.

**2002-2003 First Quarterly Report**

It was moved by Member McNinch, seconded by Member Clark, that the Board of Trustees approve the 2002-2003 First Quarterly Financial Status Report of the Long Beach Community College District and authorize transmittal of the report to the Chancellor’s Office, California Community Colleges. AB 2910, Chapter 1486, Statutes of 1986, requires California’s community college districts to report quarterly on their financial condition.
The motion carried, all voting aye.

**PACIFIC COAST CAMPUS**
No report.

**ECONOMIC AND RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT**
No report.

**ACADEMIC SENATE**
No report.

**TRUSTEES COMMUNICATIONS**
President Uranga: Dr. Clark, Trustee Kellogg and myself participated this past weekend in the Veterans' Day Parade in North Long Beach at Houghton Park. It was a rainy day, we got wet. There were a lot of people there who had fun. It's my one time out of the year I become a flag waver. We were very proud to represent the college and proud of our country. Unfortunately, we didn't get the flyover of the B-2 bombers, but, other than that, it was a great day.

I also attended the Homecoming Game that evening. Unfortunately, a 6-3 mud bowl. All the uniforms looked alike. I couldn't tell the difference after a while during the fourth quarter. And I did miss the festivities, unfortunately, I didn't get the memo that Homecoming was cancelled, so I got there a little early, but it was o.k.; it was an opportunity for me to bond with my kids.

This weekend there is the conference in San Jose with the League. Unfortunately, I will not be there this time around.

**NEW BUSINESS**
President Uranga: We have one item that's coming back in January on televising Board meetings. So, that's New Business.

**FUTURE REPORTS**
President Uranga: Future Reports haven't changed.

Superintendent-President Kehoe: Yes, sir, I did add Budget Update on February 18, 2003. By that time we should know whether or not there have been budget adjustments due to the election, as well as our mid-year apportionment and we'll be adjusting the budget, at least, reporting it at that time.

**December 10, 2002:** Bond Project Planning Update
**January 14, 2003:** Economic Forecast Presentation
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March 27, 2003:  
Global Education

PUBLIC COMMENTS (NON-AGENDA ITEMS)
At their request, members of the public will be given the opportunity to address the Board of Trustees on matters of general District business. This is the time for members of the public to speak and be heard and share their comments with the Board and for the Board to listen. Therefore, the public should not expect the Board to comment or respond to public comments. A particular position should not be inferred if there are no Board member comments during this time.

A total of five (5) minutes will be allotted to each subject, unless extended by the Board President. After receiving testimony, the Board may recommend placing such item or item(s) on the agenda of a future meeting or referring the item(s) to staff for a report.

President Uranga:  I have five requests. Four items on general police services/security and I have one on parking. We have two subjects here and I'll take them in the order they were received.

Member McNinch:  Since generally it says a total of five minutes will be allotted to each subject, I'm going to assume that our speakers were not aware that they were limited to five minutes per subject, which would be one minute per speaker. I'd like to extend it to three minutes per speaker, so that we give a total of about 12-15 minutes per subject. I hope that's acceptable to the other Board members.

President Uranga:  O.K. We have three minutes per speaker.

Kevin Phillips:  Good Evening Mr. President, Members of the Board, Dr. Kehoe. Most of you probably don't recognize me. I'm Officer Kevin Phillips of the Police Department and I'm the Secretary for the POA. Hopefully, you may recognize my name as the sole employee from this institution to deploy to Afghanistan in January.

I'm here to address some concerns regarding the contracting of police services. With regards to the desire of the contract for police services, the district has made its intentions clear. However, what is not clear is the effects that such a contract would have on the community this district services, nor on the employees who are most directly affected by such a contract. Rumors abound: Police officers are to be stripped of their police officer status and made security guards. They'll be laid off at Christmas time. Only some will be absorbed as police officers. I could go on. And then there are the issues regarding civil service, the City of Long Beach -- very sticky issues.
This leaves us several observations and several questions. Does the district intend to follow through with President Woodrow Wilson's concept of an open covenant, openly arrived at? Or does the district intend to present the POA with a fait accompli in the manner of Weimar, Germany? Does the district intend to adhere to the required bargaining process and when? We've heard nothing. Has the district considered and evaluated the losses of specific services that will result? Services like escorting young ladies to their vehicles on a dark night; vehicle jump starts; vehicle unlocks; cash transports; building and door locks and unlocks. And has the district considered the special legal requirements enforced upon a campus police department as opposed to a municipal police department? Has the district considered the opinions and the concerns and the perspectives of the staff, the faculty and the local community? What happens on this campus affects the community at large and vice versa. After all, I think we could all agree that the purpose of a public institution is to serve the community; hence the name of community college. I implore members of the Board to consider these issues and we looking forward to your response.

Derek O'Malley: President Uranga and Members. For those of you who don't know me, my name is Derek O'Malley and I'm the President of the Police Officers Association and tonight I have some questions for the Board of Trustees:

1. Did the Board of Trustees Authorize a District representative to use threats of layoffs in an attempt to force the POA to sign waivers that we believed were not in the best interest of the membership?

2. Does the Board of Trustees support these types of bargaining tactics?

3. Was the decision to contract out police services made in the best interests of the students, staff, faculty, and neighbors, or was it made in the interests of the Administration to save a buck and skirt the arming of their own Police Department?

3. In April of this year the POA was made aware that the District was looking into contracting police services with the Los Angeles County Sheriffs and the Long Beach Police Department. It is now November 12th. Why is it that we know as much today about the merger as we did in April?

5. Why were the bid proposals from the LBPD and LASO kept secret?

6. Dr. Byrd, I heard a rumor today that you encouraged student clubs not to participate in public forums to voice their concerns about the police services.

7. Based on these rumors and with the above questions I've asked, we feel that there is something suspicious about the District's handling of contracting services. Why is the District afraid of public participation? Is there something to hide?
If the threats of firing police personnel were sanctioned by the District, then we are truly disappointed. If it is the District's intent to keep the POA in the dark about the facts pertaining to our futures a secret, then we are offended. If this decision was not made in the best interests of the students, staff, faculty, and its neighbors, then we are appalled. Now believe this, we are not scared. We are not going to allow ourselves to be steamrolled.

The POA believes that we have always dealt fairly, reasonably, and ethically with the District. We understand that there is a give and take in negotiations. We know how to look at a situation from both sides of an issue and make a fair and reasonable compromise. We have seen that in the District in the past and have been thankful for that mutual respect; however this type of relationship that we thought we had with the District seems to have come to an end. Why?

A month ago we heard that this merger was going to be a “win/win” situation for everyone. Two weeks ago we were being threatened with our careers. We’re afraid to see what the District has in store for us tomorrow! I'd like to also commend the officers of our organization and the membership. Through threats, through the uncertainty of their futures, they have not stopped one bit, they have not slowed down, they have not let this get to them. They have always done their job, followed their oath, been committed. They do the job the best they can to protect the student, staff and faculty and they're a great bunch of people and I appreciate them. I'm glad they chose me as their leader. Thank you.

Ray Rodriguez: Good evening Board members and Roberto, of course. I am a retired teacher, retired administrator. I started working at the school district back in February of 1953, and I'm still working for the school district. I happen to be one of the night deans at the Pacific Coast Campus and that is the reason why I'm here and why I'm concerned about the possibility that our staff and the security system that we have in place today may be replaced or may be altered in some great fashion. And I speak not only for myself but also for the other deans as well, because we are very much concerned over this situation for the simple reason that we see it first hand. We see it at night when usually it tends to be the most crucial time of the day. That's when you really need the support and

security that you get and what you are getting from these individuals, let me say, you are getting more than your money's worth and I know that from having been a night dean for over 15 years on both campuses. So you might say that I have seen it all at one time or another.

I also support these individuals because they are truly, in my mind, in working with them for so many years, they are truly professional. I have worked with them side by side in a number of instances. I have ridden a patrol car with them, etc., and I have seen what they do and how they do it and I can say that I am proud to be associated with them. They are
truly professional, competent, reliable, trustworthy individuals. I don't think you could find a better group of people to serve this college and this community than what we have at the present time.

I also support these individuals because they not only know the campus, but they understand, they have an insight, because of their experiences, as to what education is really about and it makes these individuals very mobile. They know where to be at particular times, whether it's escorting, as was said, young ladies to their cars when classes are dismissed, whether during the break, whether during an emergency or during a drill. They always seem to be, as Don Hopkins one of the other deans said, "They always seem to be at the right place at the right time." You kind of have to run up and catch up with them at times. They are very, very efficient. They are very mobile and I think their knowledge of the campus and what we are about makes them very, very efficient.

It's also of course a fact that they know the staff. They know the students. I have seen them on more than one occasion take a situation that could easily have escalated or gotten out of hand and very adroitly turned it into a positive kind of situation. In other words, the kind of treatment that they give to the students is very different than the kind of situation that a policeman is used to out in the street. And I'm not saying that to decry the police department in any way, shape or form, but it's simply a different situation which they face and they know how to handle the students. They have their best interests at heart. That kind of experience and dedication, money will not buy.

My final thing is that I support these individuals, not only because they are professionals, as I said; not only because they are my colleagues, which I'm proud to be part of, but also, because they are my friends. Thank you.

Phyllis Ortman:
Mr. President, Members of the Board of Trustees, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Phyllis Ortman. I am President of the Lakewood Village Neighborhood Association.

I am here to speak about two issues:

First, to support the existing campus police structure:
I would like to commend the Long Beach City College Board of Trustees and President Jan Kehoe for the level of competence you have put together as law enforcement officers on your campus. I'm truly impressed by them as are the residents in our neighborhood. We frequently look to your law enforcement as the primary officers who actually know what is going on in our neighborhood and I'd like to give you two examples of that:

• Mookie, the tagger. Mookie liked to decorate the campus; he liked to decorate our neighborhood. He liked to decorate Bancroft; he decorated the bus seats, and finally, when we were organizing our association, went over to the campus police office and Chief Hole said, "Don't do anything. We know who it is, we know where he lives. We have seen the paraphernalia in his yard; we’ll take care of it.” So we didn't do anything and Mookie no longer lives in our neighborhood.
• The second thing that I would like to relay is an issue about the PC Zone (cyber café on Norse Way). One of your off-duty officers called in a drug deal going down one Sunday afternoon last August. Yet when this neighborhood appeared at City Hall for the PC’s conditional use hearing, under oath before the LB Planning Commission, a LBPD officer testified that there was no record of any incidents at PC Zone. The next day, residents went back to your officers to verify what happened and found the LBPD to be in error. We have had a meeting with Sgt. Ferrill at East Police since then to express our concern and angst.

Your neighbors are concerned about keeping these officers in our neighborhood. Based on our research, we have heard the same rumors than have been previously mentioned, so I won't go into them, but we are very concerned.

The second thing I would like to speak to you about begins actually with my employment with the Metropolitan Water District, the largest wholesaler of imported water in the United States, some say the world. We supply 60% of the water used by 17 million people in urban Southern California—that’s about as many people as live in the entire state of Texas. I’m a Senior Public Affairs Representative.

• When I began at MWD in 1986, we had an omnipotent organizational attitude, an arrogance about who we were and what we did.

• Since that time, MWD underwent an Integrated Resources Program in conjunction with its 175 member and local water agencies to establish agreed-upon resources for reliability. We also built the largest earth and rock dam project in the United States—Diamond Valley Lake, just south of Hemet, an 800,000 acre foot reservoir—if you add all the lakes and reservoirs in Southern California together, that’s how big this lake is. From the time of the first acquisition of land south of Hemet, we worked weekly with residents in the communities in and around that project. You see, Metropolitan has learned how to be inclusive in its organizational thinking about who it is and what it does.

I bring this to your attention because I dare say there is no business, no manufacturing company, no public agency in the State of California who is any longer an island in its immediate environment.

Likewise the Long Beach City College brings about 10,000 students into our midst and into our neighborhood each week! We, your neighbors, the residents around your campus, are here to tell you that we have a concern. Please give our thoughts merit in this matter.

Bear in mind that the three strikes law went into effect some years ago and criminals were put away and crime went down. Now these same criminals are coming back out on parole at a rate of 500 per month in California. I want you to really ask yourselves if you believe the LBPD can sufficiently support that kind of problem in our city. And if your
students have car burglaries, are they also going to be told like the residents are, "We cannot send anyone, because we have issues that are more important"?

In closing, let me simply say: Please don’t disband something that works! Something that has a proven track record both on your campus and in our neighborhood.

Please instead consider the positive effect of your law enforcement structure currently has both on your campus and in your immediate environment.

Thank you for the opportunity of speaking to you this evening.

President Uranga: Before we move on to the next subject, are there any comments that the Board would like to make at this time?

Member McNinch: I would like to ask a question. There is another Mrs. Ortman in Lakewood Village. What is her name and what is her responsibility?

President Uranga: She's our next speaker. We did get a copy of your letter, Mrs. Ortman. This would be Phyllis Ortman. We have not had an opportunity to dissect it and evaluate it and look at it and I'm pretty confident that we will be preparing a response to your letter, if I'm not mistaken. I would recommend that at the next opportunity that you have a meeting with our three individuals who are going to be addressing this issue and that would be our Vice President, Mr. Vic Collins, Dr. Byrd and Dr. Kehoe. Perhaps they may be able to address some of the issues that you've raised in your letter as well as some of the issues that you've raised this evening, and, basically, some of the issues that were raised with all the speakers this evening. I would highly recommend that you contact Dr. Kehoe's office and make an appointment to discuss these things with them. I want to assure everyone here that there is nothing that's been done in secret. There is a concern that I have, obviously, and that is the issue regarding rumors and threats. That would be the last thing that we would want to promote as far as any threats of layoffs or any threats to anyone's professional career and development. However, having said that, I think that a lot of these concerns may be allayed by a response to these issues that you raise in your letter, because there are some questions that I have, as well. Since this is a non-agenda item, we can't directly address them at this point, but I'm sure that we will be revisiting this issue at a later time and that, hopefully, all the individuals will be advised or contacted. If you want to be put on our mailing list as far as being contacted when this issue comes up again, we would be more than happy to contact you regarding this issue.

Phyllis Ortman: I would appreciate that.

Member Polsky: I'd like to add something. It is not a whole lot different than what Roberto Uranga said, but I probably have the most history in this place of any Board member and I really want to thank the POA officers who spoke. Before you came on Board, we had a very interesting security force here. Dianne and I called them the Keystone Cops. It was sad. I think it was 1996 when we had a lot of theft on campus of computers and they'd be replaced and then they'd be stolen again. It was a nightmare. We did not have a President at the time, we had an Interim, and it was before Jan Kehoe
came on Board. I remember calling the Long Beach Police and asking them please to come out and help us and the man I spoke with laughed and said, "You better get your act cleaned up there." So, we hired a man by the name of Bob Bell who came in. I said, "Please come in and give us your recommendations." We met with him for three hours and he did. He did a very in-depth report. We followed his suggestions and the result is we have these wonderful police officers -- very professional. He recommended Mike Hole as Chief of Police and he has been very professional, very good. I think the students feel safe, I feel safe. It's been a wonderful association.

However, I don't know if the homeowners know, and maybe you do now, that the issue of arms came up and I think this was something that concerned the Board members. We don't have violent crime. We have different kinds of crime. Actually now we hardly have any crime. So, it was my understanding that if our police officers melded with a larger organization, it would be a "win-win." They would have opportunities for promotion, better pay, better pension, whatever. And if that umbrella agency wanted to arm them that was fine. If they didn't want to arm them that was fine, too. But that was not going to be our responsibility.

President Uranga: You might want to go ahead and close it out.

Member Polsky: I'll be fast, but I'm not limited to three minutes. I just want to say that we're all very concerned about jobs and nobody wants anybody to lose their job. I also appreciate the fact that the President of the Homeowners Association came out and I want to say thank you. I really appreciate that because I understand where you're coming from. You're the people who take care of your homes and pay the taxes and, hopefully, will pay our bond issue. So I understand your concerns. There's never been anything secret. I don't know who these threats were made by and that's something that has to be looked into. I don't know anything about that, but I think we all want to look into this and we all want to have an open mind and work with you. I think, as Roberto said, you need to talk to us and voice your concerns and let's have some open communication, some open feedback. I don't know what our legal requirements are to be perfectly honest, as far as police power goes, and that's another issue. But, I want all of you to know that the Board is very supportive of all of you and we really do want to do the right thing and we have no intention of keeping anything secret. I think you all know as much as we do. I have no secrets and none of the rest of us do. Again, I want to thank Phyllis Ortman for coming out. Believe me, I understand exactly what you're saying and hopefully we'll be able to have a little bit more open dialog and things will be more positive.

Member McNinch: To Officer O'Malley. I am very apologetic that you have received threats. This Board in no way would condone anyone threatening directly your employment and I wish you would please meet with Vic Collins, Dr. Byrd or Dr. Kehoe and let them know who has threatened you, who has carried the rumor of threats, and get to the source; because this administration and this Board does not condone and will never condone that sort of action and we would like to know the source and then we want to determine the motivation of that individual or individuals; why they would be motivated to spread such vicious lies. Please meet with Dr. Kehoe, Dr. Byrd or Mr. Collins. You may do this quietly if you like; you don't have to let anybody else except that individual
know if you feel there is some sort of reprisal from any of your management. That's the end of my statement.

President Uranga: Now we move on to Sherri Ortman and her subject is parking.

Sherri Ortman: Just for clarification purposes, I am also a homeowner in Lakewood Village and I am the co-chair of the Community Improvement Committee with the Lakewood Village Neighborhood Association and I am also the liaison between the college and our Government Relations Committee within the Lakewood Village Neighborhood Association.

Member McNinch: Are you the liaison with Phyllis Ortman?

Sherri Ortman: She's my mother. President Uranga and Board of Trustees. Thank you for letting me take a few moments to speak. This is about the parking project and I would just like some clarification about an issue that came up. The parking issue in our neighborhood has been an issue that has brought problems into the neighborhood and the residents have tried to deal with that for over 15 years. When the Neighborhood Association was formed that was the number one thing that was brought to every single one of our original nine committees that started the Association. The Community Improvement Committee started with that issue. It took us a year and one-half to work through that and to be honest with you, we really had a difficult time originally communicating with the college. There wasn't a solid road for communication between the Neighborhood Association, the residents and the college. We've worked through that. We developed a very positive working relationship. We're very happy with that relationship. We had 100% support on this issue from Dr. Kehoe, from the college, and we knew that we could count on it as we were going through this process.

Then, I guess a little bit more than a month ago, I received a letter -- I was cc'd -- and there are recommendations on this letter for the City of Long Beach to increase white passenger loading zones, blue disabled parking zones on Faculty, and to create two 20-minute parking green zones on Harvey Way. I have three questions and I'm hoping that we can at some point in time come together and talk about this.

My first question is why did the level of communication we had developed break down? Why was there no phone call made to us?

The second question is with 100% support from the President of the college, we're a little confused. We're trying to figure out who initiated this. Is it a Board voting position? We're just confused about this process.

And, lastly, my question is, Why are these recommendations being made when they are directly undermining the project that we spent a year and one-half working on?

Putting these cars back onto Faculty and back onto Harvey is not an issue of parking. It's an issue of the cars that wait, that double park, that triple park. That suggests they might be able to park for 30 minutes and not have an officer notice them. Those are the issues that that brings up. It's the speeding, it's the traversing specifically Faculty and Harvey
that we tried to stop, and these recommendations directly undermine that project. So, I'm hoping whether it be through our fantastic meetings with Dr. Kehoe or through our representative, Tom Clark, however we do that, our Neighborhood Association would really like to discuss these recommendations and thank you for the time.

President Uranga: I have a question Ms. Ortman. Where did you get these recommendations from?

Sherri Ortman: I was cc'd on a letter that went to…….

President Uranga: From whom?

Sherri Ortman: From Dr. Kehoe, that went to the Traffic and Transportation Engineer within Long Beach with a long list of recommendations. There are many of them that we hope that the city will take you up on and really work through, but those two issues of parking extension on the curbs of Faculty and Harvey present a real problem for the project that we originally were working with, the problems we originally were solving.

Member Polsky: Thank you for letting us know about that, because I think it's probably news to us.

Member McNinch: I have a question. If your letter came from Dr. Kehoe and the courtesy copy was sent from her to you, did you in fact respond directly to Dr. Kehoe and what was the result of that?

Sherri Ortman: We have talked to Dr. Kehoe and there's just some general clarifications that we would like to have from the Board and from our own representative on the Board, Tom Clark.

Member McNinch: So you could have met with Dr. Clark and Dr. Kehoe.

Sherri Ortman: Yes.

Member McNinch: You just wanted to bring it to the Board meeting.

Sherri Ortman: Yes.

Member McNinch: I think Dr. Kehoe is most qualified to handle the situation as is Dr. Clark.

President Uranga: What's the date of that letter, please?

Sherri Ortman: The 26th of September.

President Uranga: Are there any other speakers on non-agenda items.

Someone from the audience: I would like to speak if I could.
President Uranga: Please state your name, affiliation and your topic.

Marcus Marius: My name is Marcus Marius. I'm a student here at Long Beach City College. My affiliation is with a Bible study here on this campus. It's not a club, per se, but, I believe, the President of this campus here knows a bit about it. It's called Y.A.L.E. (Young Anointed Leaders of Excellence) which is headed by Frank Oliver. I don't know if the Board members have heard of it, but it's a wide variety of things.

I wanted to make a comment on the issue of the police officers here. I'm in support and standing by with the gentlemen here because I've had several issues with regards to my car. My car was stolen here last semester and they handled it like professionals. I've had experience with other Long Beach police officers who just treat it as another, "Well we'll get to it when we get to it." They handled it with professionalism and I commend them for that. I also locked my keys in my car and they were willing to take their time on my little car and come and get them out and I thought that was just great. When I heard about this meeting I had to come and just say we're lending a helping hand to you guys and our support and those in the Bible study who I am affiliated with are just in support of you. I just wanted to say that. Thank you for your time.

President Uranga: Thank you for your time.

ADJOURNMENT
President Uranga adjourned the meeting at 6:27 p.m. The next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees will be held on December 10, 2002. The first order of business will be adjournment to a closed session, as needed. The Board will reconvene in open session at 5:00 p.m. in Building FF, Dyer Assembly Hall, Pacific Coast Campus.

Assistant Secretary